# Pathways for policy

From paradigms to practice: how to mainstream RRI

Europe Policy Learning Platform Workshop on Open, Social, Responsible Innovation (OSRI)

Brussels, 27 Nov 2019

## Prof. Alexander Gerber

Research Director, Institute for Science & Innovation Communication Chair of Science Communication, Rhine-Waal University











alexandergerber



inscico







How do researchers worldwide include non-academic perspectives? How do they anticipate and reflect on societal implications and needs? Comparative global study in 14 languages: <a href="https://rring.qualiaanalytics.org/?tag=HSRW--#RRI">https://rring.qualiaanalytics.org/?tag=HSRW--#RRI</a> #scicomm #WSFbp19 @WorldSciForum #WSF2019

## **Global RRI Survey**

rring.qualiaanalytics.org/?tag=HSRW



inscico

## **RRI: Pathways to Transformation**

Not just sth you do or achieve

Reflexivity of how to best place public interests at the heart of research & innovation

Fundamentally transformative, challenging established actors & practices.

R&I funding requirement: explain how you intend to anticipate ELS implications of their work (e.g.

- anticipate ELS implications of their work (e.g. using Facial Recognition for policing);
- and include those stakeholders upstream (e.g relevant patient groups, or CSO)
- reflect about and respond to those anxieties and expectations from stakeholders

## Challenges & solutions for applying RRI to regional policy

- · Civic University
- · Quadruple Helix
- Shared responsibilities
- · RRI as a "process in context"
- NUCLEUS-project.eu
- RRING.eu
- GRRIP.eu
- TeRRIFICA.eu



## **RRI: Pathways to Transformation**

Not just sth you do or achieve

**Reflexivity** of how to best place public interests at the **heart of research & innovation** 

Fundamentally **transformative**, challenging established actors & practices.

R&I funding requirement: explain how you intend to

- anticipate ELS implications of their work (e.g. using Facial Recognition for policing);
- and include those stakeholders upstream (e.g. relevant patient groups, or CSO)
- reflect about and respond to those anxieties and expectations from stakeholders

# Challenges & solutions for applying RRI to regional policy

- Civic University
- Quadruple Helix
- Shared responsibilities
- RRI as a "process in context"
- NUCLEUS-project.eu
- RRING.eu
- GRRIP.eu
- TeRRIFICA.eu



## The Future of Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) in "Horizon Europe", June 2019

#### The continued relevance of RRI

Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) is the on-going process of aligning research and innovation to societal values, needs and expectations. With the early political adoption of RRI, the European Union has been a pioneer in responding to the issues at stake; most prominently the need for public legitimacy and support for research and innovation actions that address real societal needs in a way that respects the values of European publics. Throughout the last three European framework programmes, and most importantly in the Science-with-and-for-Society (SwafS) programme, ground-breaking conceptual and practical work on RRI has been achieved. Remarkable change processes have been initiated; signalling a potential deeper institutionalisation of RRI principles and practices into organisations and national level policies.

We, the signatories to this Declaration, see the value of the RRI concept continuing to unfold best in the future when a top-down political approach is wisely operationalized into criteria for funding under the next European framework programme Horizon Europe, and when this approach is combined with further nurturing of the individual capacities of us all, the actors in research and innovation, in order to better address societal concerns regarding research and innovation. The European Union is the right actor to take a leading position in responsibility in research and innovation as the EU has been built on values from the very beginning and its success – now more than ever – depends on how it can deliver on its core values such as well-being, social coherence and sustainability. Previous initiatives, such as the Rome Declaration on Responsible Research and Innovation in Europe, have highlighted the importance of RRI. Such initiatives have not lost any of their urgency, which is why we, the signatories to this Declaration, call for immediate action.

#### The threat of a dilution of RRI in Horizon Europe

Institutional change is slow and requires dedication and nurturing, along with continued funding. The progress that has been achieved is now under threat in the impending Horizon Europe framework programme, which does not foresee a follow-up to SwafS. RRI still figures in the important basic political discussions in the regulation for Horizon Europe and will likely still have a place in the programme. However, its implementation in future EC R&I policy remains uncertain. At this time, when institutional change actions are starting to gain momentum, a dilution of the RRI agenda would work against reaping the benefits of the large investments – rightly – made.

### Our call: Make RRI a substantial and living element of Horizon Europe

Europe should strengthen its efforts to focus on responsible and sustainable modes of research and innovation. We therefore call on European Institutions, EU Member States and their R&I Funding and Performing Organisations, business and civil society to continue to make Responsible Research and Innovation a central objective, with appropriate budgets, across all relevant policies and activities. We also call on such institutions to continue to support the democratisation of research and innovation ecosystems, fostering more responsive and inclusive modes of knowledge production and diffusion.

#### Our active contribution: Working jointly for an infrastructure for RRI

The signatories to this Declaration are ready to assist in creating a more inclusive, open and responsive culture of research and innovation through, among other actions, implementing RRI in Horizon Europe; being the allies of policy actors, including DG RTD staff, in the embedding of RRI. As a community, we want to actively push forward a self - organised hub and learning platform, ideally with support for this endeavour from the EC. If RRI related actions are mainstreamed in Horizon Europe, it is crucial that such mainstreaming is done with a view to high quality and not just included as a tick-box activity.



All projects listed here, have received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme.

## Advice to the European Commission regarding embedding of RRI in Horizon Europe:

- 1. In cases in which RRI or RRI related concepts are included in research and innovation actions, applicants in these programmes/calls should be asked to outline how their projects relate to RRI, based on guidelines for how to embed RRI effectively and how to measure societal impact. The proper inclusion of RRI actions must involve specified tasks, deliverables, milestones and budgets in order to be convincing. If the described RRI actions are not designed systematically, this should affect the overall evaluation significantly. Criteria for assessing this, both in the proposal and in subsequent delivery, should be communicated to applicants, evaluators and reviewers.
- Interdisciplinary collaboration should be encouraged. Including researchers from Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) usually increases the quality of RRI actions, such as citizen engagement or ethical deliberation. Including other initiatives and organisations, such as Technology Assessment organisations or NGOs can also have an important function in making projects more transdisciplinary.
- 3. Treat RRI components as research: The RRI measures in an integrated project (e.g. stakeholder engagement, citizen science, co-creation) should be based on an understanding of how such actions can be done well, and the methods and results of RRI actions should be published. Only in this way can continuous further development, quality improvement and learning effects be achieved.
- 4. Projects should consider integrating all aspects of RRI; simply picking one aspect (research integrity, for instance) is to fragment RRI. Instead, when applying, for instance, citizen science in an integrated project this should be done in a reflective, inclusive and open way.
- 5. It must be clear that citizen science, open science and co-creation are aspects of RRI, but responsibility in research and innovation also includes being anticipatory, inclusive, reflexive and responsive, and includes considerations of fairness (social, gender, etc.) and sustainability. Open science, citizen science and co-creation agendas should be considered in this broader perspective and reference to RRI should be made. Funding calls that include RRI, open science, citizen science and co-creation should be informed by evidence from past RRI research endeavours. Specific guidelines to include open science, citizen science and co-creation activities in Horizon Europe should be related to RRI.
- 6. A hub on RRI should be funded by the EC in order to ensure quality in the mainstreaming of RRI, co-creation, public engagement and citizen science in the whole framework programme. This hub should build on and further cultivate the RRI knowledge base. It should advise, train, consult, assess and provide quality control and be a resource for those who include RRI related activities in Horizon Europe. It should also provide experts for the assessment of these aspects of research and innovation proposals and project activities, and for relevant committees and boards.
- 7. The different advisory boards and committees in Horizon Europe, especially in relation to emerging science and technologies, as well as the mission-oriented programmes, should include competence in RRI, or at least transdisciplinary competence (including civil society representatives). In the further operationalisation of Horizon Europe's mission-oriented approach, RRI should be viewed as integral.



























pathways2019.eu/declaration

