From S3 to S4: some reflections

Elisabetta Marinelli PhD Elisabetta.Marinelli@pr-i.eu www.pr-i.eu

Lessons from 2020



- Our systems are fragile
- We are responsible for how our communities react to global challenges
- We need research and innovation systems and policies capable to address societal challenges

This is not the last crisis!

- Climate change
- Inequality

• • •

•••

...

Policy responses to a challenging time in history



New conceptual tools for transformative Innovation Policy



Responsible Research and Innovation



Multi-level perspective



Two-Loops model



Three framings for innovation policy (TIP-C)



Framing 1:Innovation for growth

1960s-1980s
National
Government, scientists and industry
Fixing market failures
Knowledge generation
Technology
RDI stimulation, IP regime, STEM education and communication
Linear



Framing 2: National Systems of Innovation

- •1980s to today
- •National, regional, sectoral systems of innovation.
- •Triple-helix interaction
- Fixing structural system failures
- •Knowledge utilisation
- •Competitiveness
- •Building links, DUI learning, Demand policy, Entrepreneurship support
- Interactive and system bound



Framing 3: Transformative change

Emerging
Multi-scalar
Quadruple Helix
Fix ng transformational system failures
Solving social and environmental challenges
Support to experimentation with niches
Attention to R&D directionality
From STEM to STEAM
Systemic and experimental

Implications for Smart Specialisation: focus, framing, context

	S3 2014-2020	S3 2021-2027
Focus	 Competitiveness R&I ecosystem of innovation Triple helix approach. 	 Transformative change Providing sustainable alternatives to societal challenges Quadruple helix approach.
Framing for R&I policies	• STI policy framing 2	• STI framing 3
Analysis of regional context	 Mapping of the regional economic and innovation system to identify opportunities for knowledge-based development. 	

Implications for Smart Specialisations: EDP

	S3 2014-2020	S3 2021-2027
Rationale for the EDP	 Discovery of emerging opportunities in relation to specialisation and competitiveness 	• Discovery of emerging opportunities in relation to specialisation and transitions towards more sustainable and inclusive pathways.
Identification of priorities (EDP process)	 Broad priorities are defined through a broad bottom-up consultation or participative processes. 	. , . ,
	 Methods include workshops, focus groups, platforms for interaction (building on the previous analysis), mainly with triple helix stakeholders. 	 This is complemented with sub-regional/sectoral continuous bottom-up processes, continuous way, that aim at building an action plan along the lines of a "shared-agenda". Quadruple helix stakeholders (and beyond) are actively engaged, as they are crucial in addressing territorial challenges.

Implications about S3: Instruments and monitoring

	S3 2014-2020	S3 2021-2027
S3 Instruments	 The S3 instruments are oriented towards the S3 priorities and to reinforce the R&I ecosystem 	 S3 instruments have a RRI approach Focus on continuous and challenge-oriented experimentation within broad priorities. Support the exploration of alternative technologies and networks of innovative communities.
Monitoring	 Accountability and effectiveness. Focus on the performance of priorities Indicators based on statistics, surveys, administrative data, complemented with qualitative information Incipient digital tools and open data. 	 Accountability and effectiveness and attention to societal challenges. Digital monitoring and mapping tools, exploiting big-data and open- data to identify challenges and connect initiatives and actors. Monitoring as a learning tool not only by the regional administrations but also by stakeholders.

Conclusions

S3s from 2014-2020 are a solid base to move forward

Embed RRI principles

Bring stakeholders' engagement to the next level

Embrace experimentation

Enhance coordination with other policy initiatives (recovery funds)