

Co-funded by the European Union

Designing project **methodology**

Policy Unit | Interreg Europe secretariat

26 APR 2022

Lead applicant webinar Online



Follow us on social media: **f** 🎽 in 🖻 🙆




SLIDE 1

Session content

1. Organising the **interregional learning process**

2. Evaluating **performance**





SLIDE 3

What is a **learning process**




• An **exchange of experience** among different regions


Related to **good practices**:

- Identification
- Analysis
- Transfer

Why is learning **important**



Why is learning **important**



Why is learning **important**



Learning is the catalyst for achieving policy improvements in involved regions

Activities of the learning process

- Joint thematic surveys/ studies/ analysis
- Interregional study visits
- Interregional thematic seminars/ workshops
- Interregional peer-reviews
- Interregional staff exchanges
- Meetings with the stakeholder group **compulsory**!
- Joint pilot actions
- Participation in the Policy Learning Platform activities

Testing new approaches

Pilot actions = learning by doing

- Possible from the **start** of the project **or** at **mid-term**
- Maximum **one pilot** per policy instrument
- Fully integrated in the learning process

Specific **requirements** for being approved:

- Policy relevance and durability
- Interregionality and contribution to the learning process
- Testing character
- Additionality

Example of a **pilot action**



DIY kit for mini vertical gardens

The pilot action is based on experiences from partners in Greece, Spain and Portugal. It aims at improving the **SOfiaGREEN Programme** by promoting **urban farming** for more efficient use of resources in urban environment.

Four **vertical garden models** are designed and built by students and teachers from the University of Architecture at the local Makerspace in Sofia (Bulgaria). The gardens are installed in **6 demo sites** and planted with herbs, spices, seeds and seedlings of vegetables and fruits.

In case of success, the city of Sofia will integrate this initiative into the revision of their new SOfiaGREEN Programme for 2022-2023.

2 phases over 4-year implementation

CORE	FOLLOW UP	
3 years	1 year	
Exchange of experience to achieve policy improvements	Monitor policy improvements	

• Action plans only for regions which did not achieve results by the end of core phase

- Some **flexibility** in the follow-up phase:
 - Up to each project to define the exact activities
 - Exchange of experience still possible

No one size fits all!

- Different parameters influencing the process:
 - History of the partnership
 - Number of partners
 - Topic addressed

 \bullet

....




- A. Ensure different **levels of learning** and capacity building
- B. Focus on **quality**
- C. Use an **integrated approach**

... to reach **project results**

A. Different levels of learning

The process of learning needs to occur at different levels:

Partners + Stakeholders



A. Different levels of learning



B. Focus on **quality**

For all learning activities, importance of:

Preparation – Before

e.g. agenda and supporting documents clear and sent sufficiently in advance

Implementation – During

e.g. quality of speakers/ presentations; quality of venues/ logistics (translations?)

Follow-up (documentation and monitoring) - After

e.g. evaluation/ satisfaction questionnaire, activities, proceedings

Example workshop about ICT clusters


Before city workshop	Program (Wednesday PM – Friday Lunch) Cluster case preparation by the city Distribution of cluster case > one week prior to meeting
During city workshop	 City-region cluster policy Case presentation and discussion Cluster visit Inter-cluster networking
After city workshop	Documentation – report from city workshop(by CSC)

- What are the **main steps** to reach the objectives and ensure the improvement of the policy instruments addressed?
- What are the **activities** needed? In which order?
- How to ensure **overall coherence** in the learning process?

Ingredients: activities

Think of **interrelation** among them!

• Recipe: way to mix the activities



No one-size fits all but **three commonly adopted steps**:

Step 1

Analysis of partners' situations and identification of valuable experiences

Step 2

 Experiences further **analysed** through activities such as study visits and thematic workshops

Step 3

• Preparation for the **transfer** of practices into the policy instruments

Two approaches:

- **1. Simple** process based on typical networking activities such as workshops, seminars, study visits
- **2. More elaborated** process which can include sophisticated tools such as joint analyses, case studies, peer reviews

Both proven to be successful but => simple is beautiful!

Section C.4 Project approach

C.4 Project approach

Please describe the approach/methodology adopted to achieve the project's objectives within the core phase. * How is the learning process organised at regional and interregional levels? If applicable, please describe the different steps you envisage to reach the project's objective.

- What is the purpose of the different types of activities proposed? In particular, how do they contribute to individual and/or organisational learning? How are these activities interrelated?
- . How do you ensure the involvement of stakeholders in the learning process?

0/4000

This field is required.

Please explain the approach/methodology envisaged for the follow-up' phase (i.e. last year of the project)? To what extent are the stakeholders expected to be involved in the follow-up phase?

0/1000

This field is require

Section E Workplan

E.1 Core phase "Exchange of experience"

Semester	Start month	End month
1	Jun 2022	Nov 2022
2	Nov 2022	Semester 1 (Jun 2022 - Nov 2022)
3	Jun 2023	Describe the planned activities related to the exchange of experience process at Interregional and regional (stakeholders) levels. Please be as specific as possible with details on the main features of these activities (e.g., type of meeting, topic tackled, duration, location/host).
4	Nov 2023	features of these activities (e.g., type of meeting, topic tackled, duration, location/host).
5	Jun 2024	Exchange of experience
6	Nov 2024	

E.2 Follow-up phase

		Trus recuts required.
Semester	Start month	Pilot action for policy instrument 1 (test)
7	Jun 2025	
8	Nov 2025	

0/1500

0/3000

Communication



This field is required

0/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

1

N° of good practices identified

0

Cancel

Save


Section D1.4 Pilot action

D.1 Policy instrument 1

D.1.1 Territorial context and general description D.1.2 Addressing partners D.1.3 Stakeholders D.1.4 Pilot action D.1.5 State aid	
D.1.4 Pilot action under policy instrument Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?	D.1.4.2 Link to the policy instrument Policy relevance and durability How will the pilot action contribute to improving the above policy instrument? In case of success, how will the initiative be continued/generalised within this policy instrument?
Yes	
Is this pilot action also proposed to be implemented in other partner region(s)?	
Please select	
This field is required.	
D.1.4.1 Core features	0/2000
Title of the pilot action	Additionality
	By clicking this box, the partner(s) concerned confirms that the pilot action cannot be financed by the above policy instrument or by other local, regional or national funds.
0/150 This field is required.	Please select
Nature of the test	
Please describe what will be tested in the region(s)? What is the precise nature of the pilot action envisaged?	
0/2000	
This field is required.	
Interregionality and contribution to the learning process How is the pilot action linked to the interregional exchange of experience process? How will the implementation and lessons learnt from the pilot action be shared among the partnership to enrich the	
How is the prior action linked to the interregional exchange of experience process? How will the implementation and ressons learnt from the prior action be shared among the partnership to enrich the interregional exchange of experience?	
0/1000	

This field is required.

Evaluating performance



Why to evaluate

Projects should **transform learning into actions!**

- Achieve policy improvements by the **end of the core phase** at the latest.
- Project achievements **demonstrate the added value** of interregional cooperation

How to evaluate

Three key concepts:

Outputs: tangible deliverables which contribute to achieving the project objectives

(e.g., good practices identified/ policy instruments addressed)

Results: the **immediate effects** of project implementation deriving from the outputs

(e.g., policy instruments improved)

Impacts: the **medium- and long-term effects** of the project/ of the policy improvements

(e.g., funds influenced)

Performance framework and indicators

	RCO87 : Organisations cooperating across borders	
	RCO84: Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in	
Output indicators	projects	
Output indicators	OI4: Policy instruments addressed	
	OI5 : Interregional policy learning events organised	
	OI6 : Good practices identified	
	RI2: Organisations with increased capacity due to their	
Result indicators	participation in interregional cooperation	
	RI3: Policy instruments improved thanks to Interreg Europe	
Impact indicator	II1: Funds influenced	

Cancel

Save

Section C.5 Project indicators - outputs

C.5 Project indicators

Output indicators

Code	Title	Target
RC087	N° of organisations cooperating across borders	5
RC084	N° of pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects	0
014	N° of policy instruments addressed	2
015	N° of interregional policy learning events organised	0
016	N° of good practices identified	0

- Automatically calculated
- OI5 and OI6 come from the **workplan** for each semester

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

 1

 N° of good practices identified

 0

Section C.5 Project indicators - results

Result indicators

Code	Title	Target
RII2	N° of organisations with increased capacity due to their participation in project activities	This field is required.
RI3	N° of policy instruments improved thanks to the project	This field is required.

• **Define** your result targets





Co-funded by the European Union

Thank you!

Follow us on social media 📑 🕑 in 🖸 🙆

