





6th Interreg Europe Programming Committee ad-hoc meeting 19 November 2020

Online

Chaired by: Germany (DE)

Participants: See Participants' List (Annex 01).

Decision notes: Interreg Europe JS

1. Welcome, opening and approval of the agenda

The Chair welcomed participants to the ad-hoc meeting and thanked **Interact** for the participation. **JS** confirmed that all Parnter States were present except **CH** and **LU** and that **LU** had given their mandate to **NL**.

Decision: The agenda (Annex 02) was approved by the PC.

2. Introduction and objective of the ad-hoc meeting

JS reminded of the reasons for the ad-hoc meeting. The PC had agreed on this ad-hoc meeting with the participation from Interact with the aim to come to a decision about the future monitoring system and avoid any delays in the programme start.

JS thanked **Interact** representatives from both the HIT and JEMS core group for their involvement and participation. To structure the discussion, **JS** proposed to follow the comparative table provided by the JS and commented by Interact (Annex 04). PC members were invited to share their questions on the different sections.

3. Interreg Europe future monitoring system

Different business logic

In a first step, **JS** reminded why Interreg Europe's business logic is different from other Interreg strands and thus from the HIT and why it is essential that this logic is fully integrated in the future monitoring system (Annex 05).

■ Risk assessment (Annex 05).

In a second step, the JS then presented the assessment of the risk in both options.

Financial estimation

In a third step, **JS** presented the budget estimation for both scenarios.

Concluding discussion (without the participation of Interact):

JS thanked Interact for their availability and contributions.

PC concluded about the need to move forward and the necessity to launch the tender. **Decision:**



To launch a tender to select an IT company for the development of the future Interreg Europe monitoring system (leaving the choice between building on JEMS or developing an own system for later) and to carry out further analysis of the two scenarios after selection of the contractor.

4. Any other business

There was no other business.

End of Meeting

NOTE: To ensure transparency of the programming committee meetings, the decision notes are published on the Interreg Europe's website. Annexes as mentioned in the notes can be requested by email: info@interregeurope.eu