1. Quantitative details

- Are location, dates and number of participants provided for meetings?
- Are policy learning events counted correctly? Remember that back-to-back meetings are counted as one.
- Are any other types of output properly quantified? E.g. progress report, articles, newsletters, etc.

2. Qualitative details

- Is the content in section 1.1 Overview rich in detail and interesting? What would you add?
- Are content details provided on the learning activities at interregional level and regional level?
- Are the lessons learned and/or challenges identified clearly described in section 1.1?
- Are the good practices identified named in the report?
- Does the storytelling section bring the project to life and capture the attention of the reader? If not, what type of information would you add?

3. Relevant information in the right section

Is the information included in the right section? Here are some common pitfalls:

- Confusion about what to report in the 3 subsections Involvement of partners / exchange of experience / stakeholders of the section 1.1 'Overview'.
- Avoid repeating the same information in section 1.1 'Overview' and section 1.3.2 'Reporting per semester'.
- Confusion about what should be reported in parts a), b) and c) of the section 1.3.2 'Reporting per semester'.
- Confusion between programme activities (annual event, reporting seminar) and Policy Learning Platform activities (organisation of thematic workshops, publication of policy briefs, organisation of peer reviews).
- Did all activities mentioned in the PR take place in the current reporting period?

4. Consistency

- Is the information provided in different parts of the progress report consistent? The various output indicators should be coherent with what is described in the report.
- Information in section 1.1 Overview should not contradict what is described in section 1.3.2 Reporting per semester.
- Does the report mention all the planned activities and outputs? If not, does the section 'changes from the original plans' include:
 - A description of the change and the reason for the change
 - Clarification about if there are any consequences on project implementation (e.g. on finance)
 - Proposed solutions to face any problems or delays