

LSG Conclusions Report

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Partner concerned: | DREAM Cluster |
| Policy Instrument concerned: | Centre-Val de Loire ERDF Operative Programme 2014-2020 |
| Date of the meeting: | November 17 th 2021 |

This report should be sent to all partners

LSG Meeting General Information

A. Participants

| Name | Institution |
|-----------------|----------------------|
| Justine NEGREL | DREAM Cluster |
| Jolanda BOISSON | IRH IC / ANTEA Group |
| Damien GRANGER | SUEZ |
| Pascal BRAULT | Université D'Orléans |

B. Agenda

| |
|---|
| I – Update and reminder of the BD4R project and presentation of the agenda (activities and objectives) of the project |
| II – Report of the study visit in France |
| III – Exchanges and discussion around the choice of the good practice of the action plan |

C. Duration

| |
|---|
| <i>Previewed starting: 10.00 am and ending hour: 12.00 am</i> |
| <i>Real starting: 10.00 am and ending hour: 11.40 am</i> |

LSG Meeting Conclusions

A. Summary of the meeting

| |
|---|
| <i>Executive summary of the meeting minutes</i> |
|---|

The meeting gathered local stakeholder group from Centre-Val de Loire Region (RCVL) involved in the BIGDATA 4RIVERS project. It includes different public and private actors of the RCVL.

The objectives of this meeting:

I – Update and reminder of the BD4R project and presentation of the agenda (activities and objectives) of the project

In this first part, after a short presentation of the different people present, the LSG were informed about the presentations made by the partners during the last study visit in Lithuania and the agenda of the next months with mainly the first matching meeting, the study visit in Spain and the rendering of the first draft of the action plan.

II – Report of the study visit in France

In this part, the LSG have had a return on of the French study visit of the 16th and 17th June 2021. They have a reminder on the different presentations made by the French actors and by the partners of the other countries. For this study visit, the number of participants has varied between 17 to 25 depending sessions.

III – Exchanges and discussion around the choice of the good practice of the action plan

The aim of this part is to discuss the choice of the good practice for the action plan. A preselecting of 3 good practices has had made by the Cluster DREAM and presented to the LSG. Among the 33 good practices presented by the different partners, the 3 good practices preselected are :

1. NOR-WATER Emerging pollutants in the waters of Galicia - Northern Portugal: new tools for risk management (ID 09, Portugal),
2. Wastewater treatment of Pharmaceuticals (ID 16, Sweden),
3. Water quality monitoring with the use of robotic boats (ID 26, Greece).

Exchanges took place within the LSG for determined the advantages and disadvantages of this different good practices and the possibility to set up and adapt them in France. There were also exchanges between the different actors about the good practice the most interesting to adapt with the policy of the RCVL and projects already in place. All there good practices are very interesting according to the LSG, but the good practice of Sweden would be the most difficult and expensive to establish, the good practices of Portugal and Greece are so the most interesting for France and could complete the projects and tools already existing.

B. Recommendations of the LSG

Need more details for progressing in the identification of the good practices presented by the partners of BD4R for designing the action plan

C. Information Request

Request of additional information to the partnership/a particular partner



