

HIGHER Interreg Europe

BENCHMARKING REPORT

Maja Ferlinc, Slovenian Business and Research Association and Association of Slovenian Urban Municipalities



Benchmarking in HIGHER project



Act. 2.2. Benchmarking analysis between HIGHER project good practices and other European related policies identified by the innovation experts. A final benchmarking report summarising the best practices identified will be developed (to be published digitally, disseminated to target audiences and also uploaded at the Programme's Platform). Each partner will give its contribution in finding the useful information and send them to the activity's responsible partner through a predefined form. Activity coordinated by AUMS.

Policy instrument analysis

Best practice booklet

Benchmarking report

Methodology



JRC report »Regional benchmarking in the smart specialisation process: Identification of reference regions based on structural similarity«



HIGHER regions choolse one non-HIGHER region from a list of 10 nearest regions for every EU region



Choose compatible policy instrument from non-HIGHER region



Benchmarking report – analysis of the presented policy instruments



Analysiss of the chosen policy instrument and comparison with

Questions in the template - 1



Description of the similar policy instrument in a selected comparable region

- Selected comparable region:
- Name of the instrument:
- What are the main characteristics and the objectives of the instrument?
- What are the thematic priorities of the instrument?
- What are the key implementation tools and channels for the policy instrument?
- What are the main stakeholders involved?
- Type of funding (grants, loans, other):
- What are the expected results and the impact of the instrument?
- What are expected performance indicators?

Questions in the template - 2



Policy learning

- Whate are the main similarities betwen your policy instrument and the comparable policy instrument of the chosen region?
- Whate are the main differences betwen your policy instrument and the comparable policy instrument of the chosen region?
- What can you learn from the chosen comparable policy instrument of the chosen region / What are the characteristics of the chosen comparable instrument that you could implement in your region?



HIGRER region	Selected comparable region
CENTRAL MACEDONIA	TUSCANY
Axis 01 - specific priority that called 1b1 - Promoting private R&D&I investments, to develop new products and services in RIS3 areas.	AXIS 01 (supporting innovation and links between Knowledge and Market), Action 1.1.5 R&D investments aid scheme
NORTH PORTUGAL	EMILIA ROMAGNA
Norte 2020 – Regional Operational Program of North - Scientific and technological knowledge transfer - Collective actions (Action 1.2.1)	Regional Operational Program of Emilia-Romagna – Strategic industrial research projects within the framework of the priorities defined in S3 (Action 1.2.2)
LITHUANIA	POLAND
INOGEB LT - promote technological progress and innovation by providing the innovation consulting and innovation support services	Pro-innovation BEI services for SMEs - ensure enterprises' access to pro-innovation services provided by various types of public and private entities.
CATALONIA	LOMBARDY
RIS3CAT Communities - Boosting collaboration and active groups of companies and different stakeholders within the R&D&I	R&D line for Aggregations - Promoting stable and long-term collaboration in the R&D field
STOCKHOLM	COPENHAGEN
Programme for Strategic Innovation Collaboration Between Public and Private Actors in Stockholm	CopenVirk - help local SMEs to gain greater access to public funding and increase access to global
SLOVENIA	LODZKIE
Strategic Research and Innovation Partnerships	Collaboration between SMEs and RTI instituts

	 Better support of new startups It is aligned with the Commission's Pact with Greece and It is also aligned with the national operational goals and programs. 	results); - to focus on RIS3 strategies; - to enhance open innovation dynamics (fostering also spinoff and start-ups).
Thematic focus/prior ities	General thematic priorities of Central Macedonia's RIS3 strategy are: - Agrifood - Tourism - Material (mainly building) and - Textile (mainly asset management)	Within the general objective of promoting business investments in R&D, main thematic priorities are related to RIS3 technological axes: photonic and ICT; smart manufacturing; Chemicals and nanotechnology.
	Key implementation tool will be the calls that will be specify more policy's goals and objectives and these call will also be framed under EU general block exemption regulation.	Key implementation tools are call for proposals for Research and development state aids schemes. The R&D aids scheme, activated under the GBER (general block exemption regulation) are integrated with aids to SMEs for purchasing knowledge intensive services.
Stakeholde rs focus	All triple helix entities are and will be involved in the formulation and implementation of this policy. The main stakeholders that are going to be involved in the implementation of this policy are: - universities, - R&D centers, - R&D entities, - SME's - Startups	Main stakeholders involved are: technological districts; research centers; universities; Enterprises. Under this action and calls proposals that partner with research and knowledge centres get more points during their evaluation phase.
Funding type	Grants	Grants
Expected results	The main output indicator: Number of enterprises introducing new products in the market Number of companies cooperating with research institutes.	The instrument has several output indicators, mainly linked to: number of R&D employees generated; number of enterprises introducing process and product innovation; number of enterprises introducing new products in the market; Number of companies cooperating with research institutes. Outcomes indicators are related to: increment of the number of R&D employees on total employees; Increase of the percentage of enterprises introducing new products in the market on total.

Main findings



- Previous good cooperation with benchmarking regions
- Benchmarking regions two cases better developed
- Simillar RIS3 priorities in HIGHER and benchmarking regions, although they might have different focus (sector vs technology orientation)
- Three benchmarking regions and two HIGHER regions from Italy

Main findings - 2



- Instruments focused mostly on SMEs and their cooperation with R&D
- Importance of the learning process
 - loan system in Lombardy
 - access to EU funds in Copenhagen
 - contracts with companies in Emilia Romagna
 - funding SMEs directly rather then intermediatories like in Poland, etc



