



What have we learnt?: Results from a 4 year journey

ORKESTRA

Basque Institute of Competitiveness

www.orkestra.deusto.es/en/



Starting point



Some issues that influenced Manumix learnings:

- Small consortium: Deep learning among partners
- Different contexts: Facilitate diversity of experiences but some implementation issues raised
- Topic: easier to learn from policy instruments than from evaluation issues
- Apart from standard Interreg activities, some additional ones have been implemented to cope with project needs (i.e. benchmarking of evaluation of innovation policy mixes)



Sources of learnings

1. Learning journeys:

- Presentations from each partner
- Learning documents published in the project Website

2. Peer reviews (ad hoc learnings for each partner):

- Documents of the peer reviews (background document, final report)
- Learnings from discussions

3. Documents from Advisory partner

- Baseline study
- Benchmarking study
- 4. Best practices reported by each partner

Learning journeys (1)



Innovation policy-mix for Advanced Manufacturing

- Different approaches to Advanced Manufacturing in scope and governance
- 2. Instruments portfolio for Advanced Manufacturing
- 3. Individual instruments included in the Manumix project
- 4. Combination of instruments for AM
- 5. Evaluation practices of individual instruments

- Main learnings around individual instruments: IR2 from Piedmont, SBRI from Wales, Basque Industry 4.0 from the Basque Country
- Need to better understand the combinations of policy instruments (innovation policy-mixes)
- Identification of good practices for evaluation reporting and data visualisation

Learning journey (2)



Action oriented evaluation

- 1. Evaluation and types of associated changes (strategic/ operative)
- 2. Relevance of coordination mechanisms for decision-making
- 3. Factors influencing the use of evaluation results

- Evaluation developed in regions does have an impact in the design and implementation of instruments for Advanced Manufacturing.
- Importance of establishing horizontal and vertical coordination mechanisms for evaluation and of involving policy-makers and beneficiaries.
- RIS3 strategies may act as coordination mechanisms for policy mixes and their evaluation
- Importance of create awareness of evaluation within governments

Learning journey (3)



Monitoring and indicators

- Type of of indicators to monitor individual instruments and potential indicators for MANUMIX policy-mixes.
- 2. Process of gathering and analysing data for monitoring (sources and methods)
- 3. Visualisation of monitoring results

- Difficulty of establishing indicators for policy-mixes
- The importance of not getting lost in many indicators but also the importance of measuring with a specific goal
- The potential of big data for evaluation
- The importance of communicating the evaluation results keeping a balance between complexity and simplicity

Learning journey (4)



Evaluating policy-mixes

- 1. Evaluating interactions at different levels. Benchmarking exercise
- 2. Steps/checklist to create policy mix monitoring systems
- 3. Challenges for evaluating policy-mixes (data, goals)

- Combining different approaches and methodologies is important to have a more general picture of interactions of instruments at different dimensions and levels.
- The availability of timely and adequate data is one of the biggest challenges for this type of evaluations.
- It is relevant to have clear goals and to stablish the logic framework of the policy mix for evaluation
- Measuring and evaluating the social impact of programmes and behavioural changes is one of the biggest challenges

Learning journey (5)



Evaluation management

- 1. Purpose of evaluations
- 2. Focus, timing and resources of evaluations
- 3. Role of actors in the evaluation process
- 4. Types of evaluators

- Importance of setting the purpose of evaluation
- Need of embedding evaluation in the political cycle. Timing and usefulness
- Importance of dissemination of evaluation results
- Role of internal vs external evaluators

Examples of changes inspired by learnings...



Changing existing practices and instruments...

Eg:

- Changing instruments in Basque Country and Lithuania based on good practices
- Improving the evaluation of contribution of programmes to S3 in Basque Country inspired by the benchmarking study
- Improving programmes evaluation of the Basque Country inspired by the peer review exercise

Introducing new practices and instruments...

Eg:

- Introducing a new instrument in Basque Country inspired by a good practice
- Developing an Industry 4.0 diagnostic and benchmarking in Wales inspired by learning journeys
- Introducing new measures in the evaluation system of Piedmonte inspired by discussion in learning journeys

Reflection about usefulness of learnings



- Workshops have been very useful to identify good practices but deeper learning was needed to explore them in a second phase.
- It seems that ad-hoc peer review exercises have been a very useful tool for learning (need to deepen into cases for useful recommendations)
- Benchmarking seems useful when the topic is a novel one.
- Not all action plans are oriented towards evaluation of policy-mixes but to policy-mixes. Orientation towards change
- Importance of involvement of key stakeholders in interregional learning exercises together with regional ones (i.e. Basque companies involvement)

MANUMIX Interreg Europe



Thank you!

Twitter: @InterregManumix

Web: https://www.interregeurope.eu/manumix/

Linkedin: https://www.linkedin.com/in/manumix-

interreg-312014141/







Project smedia